

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,054		09/11/2003	Shigeru Yamane	MAT-8260US1	7291
23122	7590	07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA				DIXON, MERRICK L	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1774	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. YAMANE ET AL. 10/660,054 Office Action Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Merrick Dixon 1774 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) <u>37,40,41,44,47,59,66,69,70,73 and 76</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 37,40,41,44,47,59,66,69,70,73 and 76 is/are rejected. Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 4) IRRIMARY EXAMINER

4) Pro-413) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 6) U Other: . Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1774

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 37,40,41,44,47,59,66,76 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatani et al(US 6096411) for reasons as set forth in the previous office action, inter alla.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 66,69,70,73 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatani et al(US 6096411) alone for reasons as set forth in the previous office action, inter alla..
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 4-18-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that pursuant to paragraph 21 of the previous office action, the section 103 rejection concedes that the reference is silent to an additional layer. Further, applicants argue that the resin layer formed on the fiber sheet is not identical to that claimed by applicants. And that the cited reference teaches copper foils on both sides of the sheet(10). Applicants conclude that such lack of teachings by the reference along with the admitted concession, the invention is not anticipated. The examiner disagrees. It would have been obvious to substitute such argued material in

Art Unit: 1774

the reference for it has long being held that the selection of known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use is a mere obvious matter of design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Thus it would have been obvious to substitute the copper foil in the reference with desired material.. This is relating to the 103 rejection. Applicants, it appears, did not argue the 102 as offered by the examiner. The examiner believes that the fact the amended claims depend on the rejected claim (non-amended claim 37) such, now claimed, properties would be inherent. Thus claims 40,41,44,47 and 59, would be inherent especially as such claims were originally presented as product by process claims and depend from a 102 rejected base claim.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7 Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The

Art Unit: 1774

faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless

otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (Private PAIR).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Mus De

Group 1700